



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,401	10/09/2001	Mark Karrs	1094-12	6080
28249	7590	12/06/2004	EXAMINER	
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP 333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD. UNIONDALE, NY 11553			DUONG, THANH P	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		1764		

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/973,401	KARRS ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Tom P Duong	<b>Art Unit</b> 1764	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM  
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 September 2004.  
 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                            2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 39-49 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \*    c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>01/28/02</u>	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Election/Restrictions***

Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-38, in the reply filed on 9/02/2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

### ***Information Disclosure Statement***

The references cited in the Search Report for PCT /US 02/31379 have been considered, but will not be listed on any patent resulting from this application because they were not provided on a separate list in compliance with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1). In order to have the references printed on such resulting patent, a separate listing, preferably on a PTO-1449 form, must be filed within the set period for reply to this Office action.

---

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1-3, 8, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by European Patent Application 0166480 (EU '480). Regarding claims 1 and 8, EU '480 discloses a system for catalytically treating a gas stream (Fig. 1 and page 1), which comprises: a gas phase reactor containing a catalyst (disks 20) for the treatment of the gas stream containing NOx (page 2, line 1) in at least one catalyst bed having an upstream end and a downstream end; an axial fan (7) positioned upstream of the at least one catalyst bed and having a rotatable impeller (rotor blades as shown in Fig. 1) for moving the gas stream through the gas phase reactor; and, c) gas flow modification means (convergent section 13 forms a venturi tube effect) positioned between the impeller and the gas phase reactor for decreasing gas stream velocity and increasing gas flow uniformity. Regarding claims 2 and 3, it appears the gas flow uniformity is increased by the gas flow modification (convergent section 23 forms a "venturi tube" effect) means such that the gas stream entering the gas phase reactor has a velocity profile exhibiting not more than about 10% or 5% velocity deviation from an average gas stream velocity at the upstream end of the at least one catalyst bed. Regarding claims 14 and 15, EU '480 discloses the fan (7) impeller includes a plurality of blades as shown in Figure 1.

---

2. Claims 1 and 21-23, 31, 34-35, and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamaguchi (5,282,355). Regarding claims 1, 21-23, and 31, Yamaguchi discloses a system for catalytically treating a furnace flue gas (Fig. 2), which comprises: a) gas phase reactor containing a catalyst (6) for the treatment of the flue gas in at least one catalyst bed (Col. 1, lines 50-55) having an upstream end and a

downstream end for removal of NOx; b) an axial fan (gas turbine 1) positioned upstream of the at least one catalyst bed and downstream of furnace and having a rotatable impeller (inherent feature of a gas turbine) for moving the flue gas from the furnace through the gas phase reactor; and, means for recycling a portion of the flue gas (via component 10) from downstream of the axial fan to a convection section (section 4) of the furnace located upstream of the axial fan. Note, the convection section 4 has a front conical transition duct which constitutes the gas flow modification means for decreasing the gas velocity. Regarding claims 22 and 23, Yamaguchi shows the exhaust gas and the reducing agent (ammonia) are feed to the recycle manifold (plurality of spray nozzles connected to a common pipe as shown in Fig. 2 in the convection section). Regarding claims 34 and 35, Yamaguchi discloses a gas turbine, which inherently has blade units comprise of blades extending radially outward from the impeller. Regarding claim 38, Yamaguchi discloses a heat recovery section (5) downstream of the phase reactor.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 2-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EU '480. EU '480 shows convergent section 13 with enlarged section 34, which resembles the structure of a "venturi tube" and it would have been obvious in view of EU '480 to one having ordinary skill in the art that such structure provides a gas flow modification means (venturi tube effect) such that the gas stream entering the gas phase reactor has a velocity profile exhibiting not more than about 10% or 5% velocity deviation from an average gas stream velocity at the upstream end of the at least one catalyst bed.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EU '480 in view of Surette (5,632,142). Regarding claim 4, EU '480 discloses the axial fan (7) includes a housing (casing 30-31) and a flared portion (convergent section 13 to wall 34) of the housing having a gradually increasing diameter but fails to disclose a tail cone includes a distally pointing tapered end portion. Surette teaches a gas turbine engine 101 with a tail cone (nozzle plug 117) to minimize turbulence and provide a smooth and uniform flow path to the diffuser 115 or downstream duct (Col. 3, lines 21-23 and Col. 3, lines 38-44). Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Surette to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the turbine structure of EU '480 with a gas turbine with a tail cone as taught by Surette in order to provide a smooth flow stream downstream of the turbine blades or axial fan blades. Note, Surette also makes it clear the function of the flared portion (diffuser 34) is to reduce the velocity of the exhaust gas (Col. 4, lines 58-67).

5. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applied references (EU '480 in view of Surette '142) as applied to claim 4 above, and

further in view of Tyler et al. (2,936,846) and Ishikawa et al. (5,043,146). The applied references disclose a transition duct (convergent section 13 to wall 34 of EU '480 and bell-shaped wall 119 of Surette '142) which flare outward so as to gradually increase cross-sectional area available to gas stream flow but fail to disclose the transition duct having perforated walls. Tyler '846 teaches a turbine engine (Col. 4, lines 25-30) with a transition duct having perforated walls (perforations 48 in walls of cylindrical section 36) to suppress the noise generated by the turbine engine. Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Tyler '846 to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the transition duct of the applied references having perforated walls as taught by Tyler '846 in order to reduce the noise generated from the exhaust gas. Alternatively, Ishikawa teaches a flow controller 3 (Col. 3, lines 30-32) is provided in front of the catalyst layer 4 in the duct portion 1 as shown in Figs. 4-5 and 10-11 to prevent the generation of vortexes in front of the catalyst layer (Col. 5, lines 14-17) and to provide a uniform exhaust gas flow to the catalyst layer (Col. 1, lines 31-38). Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Ishikawa to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the transition duct of the applied references having perforated walls as taught by Ishikawa in order to minimize the generation of the vortexes and provide a uniform exhaust gas flow to the catalyst layer.

6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EU '480 in view of Tyler et al. '846 and Ishikawa et al. '146. EU '480 discloses a transition duct (convergent section 13 to wall 34 of EU '480) which flare outward so as to gradually increase cross-sectional area available to gas stream flow but fails to disclose the transition duct having perforated walls. Tyler '846 discloses a turbine engine (Col. 4,

lines 25-30) with a transition duct having perforated walls (perforations 48 in walls of cylindrical section 36) to suppress the noise generated by the turbine engine. Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Tyler '846 to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the transition duct of EU '480 having perforated walls as taught by Tyler '846 in order to reduce the noise generated from the exhaust gas. Alternatively, Ishikawa teaches a flow controller 3 (Col. 3, lines 30-32) is provided in front of the catalyst layer 4 in the duct portion 1 as shown in Figs. 4-5 and 10-11 to prevent the generation of vortexes in front of the catalyst layer (Col. 5, lines 14-17) and to provide a uniform exhaust gas flow to the catalyst layer (Col. 1, lines 31-38). Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Ishikawa to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the transition duct of EU '480 having perforated walls as taught by Ishikawa in order to minimize the generation of the vortexes and provide a uniform exhaust gas flow to the catalyst layer.

7. Claims 7 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EU '480 in view of Yamaguchi (5,282,355). Regarding claim 7, EU '480 fails to disclose means for recycling a portion of the gas stream from downstream of the axial fan to a position upstream of the axial fan. Yamaguchi '355 teaches a portion of the NOx –free exhaust gas stream is recirculated back to the a position upstream of the axial fan (best understood by Examiner to be the front back of the catalyst system) to facilitate vaporizing the aqueous ammonia prior to injecting to the catalyst layer of the NOx removal system 6 (Col. 1, lines 31-46). Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Yamaguchi '355 to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the exhaust treatment system of EU '480 with a recycling exhaust stream as taught by Yamaguchi in order to

facilitate vaporizing of the aqueous ammonia to be used in the catalyst system.

Regarding claim 18, EU '480 fails to disclose a heat recovery section positioned downstream of the gas phase reactor for cooling the gas stream. Yamaguchi teaches a heat exchanger 5 located both upstream and downstream of the exhaust gas to recover the heat from the exhaust gas to be used in a boiler (Col. 1, lines 21-31). Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Yamaguchi to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the exhaust treatment system of EU '480 with a heat recovery section as taught by Yamaguchi in order to recover the heat from exhaust gas. Regarding claim 19, EU '480 fails to disclose means for introducing reducing agent into the gas stream. Yamaguchi teaches a reducing agent (ammonia) is introduced by via nozzle 10a (Fig. 3) to facilitate in reducing the NOx in the exhaust gas (Col. 1, lines 50-55). Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Yamaguchi to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the exhaust treatment system of EU '480 with means for introducing reducing agent in to the gas stream as taught by Yamaguchi in order to facilitate the conversion of NOx to nitrogen. Regarding claim 20 , EU '480 fails to disclose a gas stream recycle manifold [spray nozzles connected to common pipe (via line 10)] for communicating a portion of the gas stream downstream of the axial fan to a convection section of a furnace positioned upstream of the axial fan, wherein the means for introducing reducing agent comprises an inlet for introducing the reducing agent into the gas stream recycle manifold. Yamaguchi discloses a gas-recycling stream (via fan 10) downstream of a gas turbine 1 to facilitate vaporizing the ammonia and means for introducing reducing agent (via nozzle 10a) to facilitate in reducing the NOx (Col. 1, lines 50-55). Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Yamaguchi to one having ordinary skill in the art to

modify the gas treatment system of EU '480 with a gas recycling stream and means for introducing the reducing agent as taught by Yamaguchi in order to facilitate vaporizing the ammonia and reducing the NOx.

8. Claims 9-10 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EU '480 in view of Balling et al. (5,397,545). EU '480 discloses the catalyst elements 20 but fails to disclose the catalyst bed includes a plurality of stackable, individually separable modules containing one or more materials selected from the group consisting of vanadium oxide, aluminum oxide, titanium oxide, tungsten oxide, molybdenum oxide and zeolite. Balling '545 teaches a plurality of stacked honeycomb catalytic converters (8,10,12,14,16) (Col. 4, lines 65-68) made of vanadium pentoxide, molybdenum oxide, and etc. (Col. 5, lines 1-6) to facilitate the conversion of nitrogen oxide to nitrogen and carbon dioxide (Col. 6, lines 18-24). Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Balling to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the catalyst elements of EU '480 with a honeycomb catalyst converters as taught by Balling to facilitate the conversion of NOx to nitrogen.

---

9. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EU '480 in view of Carlborg et al. (6,534,022). EU '480 discloses a catalyst elements 20 but fails to disclose the catalyst bed comprises a catalyst supported on a mesh-like structure having a void space of at least about 85%. Carlborg teaches the catalyst is supported on a mesh-like structure with a porosity greater than 85% (Col. 2, lines 1-7), which provides the benefits of superior heat transfer, low thermal mass, and improved catalyst

effectiveness (Col. 8, lines 35-39). Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Carlborg to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the catalyst elements of EU '480 with a catalyst of a mesh-like structure as taught by Carlborg in order to gain the above benefits.

10. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EU '480 in view of prior art Admission ~~and~~. EU '480 discloses fan blades but fails to disclose blade units have a variable pitch. Admission discloses it is conventional to use blade units with variable pitch to control the flue gas velocity (specification page 9, lines 15-23). Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Admission to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the fan blade of EU '480 with the blades having variable pitch in order to control the flue gas velocity.

11. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EU '480 in view of Acaster (5,709,088). EU '480 shows a fan having impeller but fails to disclose the impeller has a variable speed of rotation which is adjustable while the impeller is rotating. Acaster teaches an engine turbine (Fig. 1) having an impeller with variable speed of rotation depending on the demand of the exhaust gas quantity and pressure. Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Acaster to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the fan of EU '480 with impeller has a variable speed of rotation as taught by Acaster in order to keep up with the demand of the exhaust gas and pressure. Note, it is conventional to provide impeller with gear reduction or variable drive ratio and it would have been obvious to do so here control the exhaust gas flow rate.

12. Claims 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EU '480 in view of Yamaguchi (5,282,355). Regarding claims 21-23, EU '480 discloses a system for catalytically treating a gas stream (Fig. 1 and page 1), which comprises: a gas phase reactor containing a catalyst (disks 20) for the treatment of the gas stream containing NOx (page 2, line 1) in at least one catalyst bed having an upstream end and a downstream end; an axial fan (7) positioned upstream of the at least one catalyst bed and having a rotatable impeller (rotor blades as shown in Fig. 1) for moving the gas stream through the gas phase reactor. EU '480 fails to disclose means for recycling a portion of the gas stream from downstream of the axial fan to a position upstream of the axial fan and means for introducing the reducing agent into the recycle manifold. Yamaguchi discloses a gas-recycling stream (via fan 10) downstream of a gas turbine 1 to facilitate vaporizing the ammonia and means for introducing reducing agent (via nozzle 10a) to facilitate in reducing the NOx (Col. 1, lines 50-55). Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Yamaguchi to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the gas treatment system of EU '480 with a gas recycling stream and means for introducing the reducing agent as taught by Yamaguchi in order to facilitate vaporizing the ammonia and reducing the NOx. Regarding claim 24, it is conventional to provide control valve in a recycled gas stream and it would have been obvious to do so here to regulate the amount of gas flow rate recycled back into the convection section.

13. Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applied references (EU '480 in view of Yamaguchi '355) as applied to claim 22

above, and further in view of Tyler et al. (2,936,846) and Ishikawa et al. (5,043,146). The applied references disclose a transition duct (convergent section 13 to wall 34 of EU '480) which flare outward so as to gradually increase cross-sectional area available to gas stream flow but fails to disclose the transition duct having perforated walls. Tyler '846 discloses a turbine engine (Col. 4, lines 25-30) with a transition duct having perforated walls (perforations 48 in walls of cylindrical section 36) to suppress the noise generated by the turbine engine. Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Tyler '846 to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the transition duct of the applied references with perforated walls as taught by Tyler '846 in order to reduce the noise generated from the exhaust gas. Alternatively, Ishikawa teaches a flow controller 3 (Col. 3, lines 30-32) is provided in front of the catalyst layer 4 in the duct portion 1 as shown in Figs. 4-5 and 10-11 to prevent the generation of vortexes in front of the catalyst layer (Col. 5, lines 14-17) and to provide a uniform exhaust gas flow to the catalyst layer (Col. 1, lines 31-38). Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Ishikawa to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the transition duct of the applied references with perforated walls as taught by Ishikawa in order to minimize the generation of the vortexes and provide a uniform exhaust gas flow to the catalyst layer.

---

Regarding claim 26, Yamaguchi shows on Fig. 2 the gas stream recycle manifold has at least one inlet connected to the transition duct, and at least one outlet connected to the convection section of the furnace.

14. Claims 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaguchi '355 in view of Tyler et al. (2,936,846) and Ishikawa et al. (5,043,146).

Yamaguchi '355 discloses a transition duct (4) which flare outward so as to gradually increase cross-sectional area available to gas stream flow but fails to disclose the transition duct having perforated walls. Tyler '846 teaches a turbine engine (Col. 4, lines 25-30) with a transition duct having perforated walls (perforations 48 in walls of cylindrical section 36) to suppress the noise generated by the turbine engine. Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Tyler '846 to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the transition duct of Yamaguchi having perforated walls as taught by Tyler '846 in order to reduce the noise generated from the exhaust gas. Alternatively, Ishikawa teaches a flow controller 3 (Col. 3, lines 30-32) is provided in front of the catalyst layer 4 in the duct portion 1 as shown in Figs. 4-5 and 10-11 to prevent the generation of vortexes in front of the catalyst layer (Col. 5, lines 14-17) and to provide a uniform exhaust gas flow to the catalyst layer (Col. 1, lines 31-38). Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Ishikawa to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the transition duct of Yamaguchi having perforated walls as taught by Ishikawa in order to minimize the generation of the vortexes and provide a uniform exhaust gas flow to the catalyst layer. Regarding claim 26, Yamaguchi shows on Fig. 2 the gas stream recycle manifold has at least one inlet connected to the transition duct, and at least one outlet connected to the convection section of the furnace.

---

15. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaguchi '355 in view of Surette '142. Yamaguchi disclose a turbine 1 (inherently has an axial fan) and the housing having a flared portion (conical section 4) but fails to show a tail cone includes a distally pointing tapered end portion. Surette teaches a gas

turbine engine 101 with a tail cone (nozzle plug 117) to minimize turbulence and provide a smooth and uniform flow path to the diffuser 115 or downstream duct (Col. 3, lines 21-23 and Col. 3, lines 38-44). Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Surette to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify gas turbine of Yamaguchi '355 with a gas turbine with a tail cone as taught by Surette in order to provide a smooth flow stream downstream of the turbine blades or axial fan blades. Note, Surette also makes it clear the function of the flared portion (diffuser 34) is to reduce the velocity of the exhaust gas (Col. 4, lines 58-67).

16. Claim 30 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaguchi '355 in view of Carlborg et al. (6,534,022). Yamaguchi '355 discloses a catalyst elements 20 but fails to disclose the catalyst bed comprises a catalyst supported on a mesh-like structure having a void space of at least about 85%. Carlborg teaches the catalyst is supported on a mesh-like structure with a porosity greater than 85% (Col. 2, lines 1-7), which provides the benefits of superior heat transfer, low thermal mass, and improved catalyst effectiveness (Col. 8, lines 35-39). Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Carlborg to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the catalyst elements of EU '480 with a catalyst of a mesh-like structure as taught by Carlborg in order to gain the above benefits.

17. Claims 28-29 and 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the Yamaguchi '355 in view of Balling et al. (5,397,545). Yamaguchi '355 the catalyst system 6 but fails to disclose the catalyst bed includes a plurality of

stackable, individually separable modules containing one or more materials selected from the group consisting of vanadium oxide, aluminum oxide, titanium oxide, tungsten oxide, molybdenum oxide and zeolite. Balling '545 teaches a plurality of stacked honeycomb catalytic converters (8,10,12,14,16) (Col. 4, lines 65-68) made of vanadium pentoxide, molybdenum oxide, and etc. (Col. 5, lines 1-6) to facilitate the conversion of nitrogen oxide to nitrogen and carbon dioxide (Col. 6, lines 18-24). Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Balling to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the catalyst system of Yamaguchi '355 with a honeycomb catalyst converters as taught by Balling to facilitate the conversion of NO<sub>x</sub> to nitrogen.

18. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaguchi '355 in view of prior art Admission. Yamaguchi discloses the gas turbine with fan blades but fails to disclose blade units have a variable pitch. Admission discloses it is conventional to use blade units with variable pitch to control the flue gas velocity (specification page 9, lines 15-23). Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Admission to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the gas turbine of Yamaguchi '355 with the blades having variable pitch in order to control the flue gas velocity.

19. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamaguchi '355 in view of Acaster (5,709,088). Yamaguchi disclose a gas turbine with fan blades fails to disclose the impeller has a variable speed of rotation which is adjustable while the impeller is rotating. Acaster teaches an engine turbine (Fig. 1) having an impeller with variable speed of rotation depending on the demand of the

exhaust gas quantity and pressure. Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Acaster to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the gas turbine of Yamaguchi '355 with impeller has a variable speed of rotation as taught by Acaster in order to keep up with the demand of the exhaust gas and pressure. Note, it is conventional to provide impeller with gear reduction having variable drive ratio and it would have been obvious to do so here control the exhaust gas flow rate.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom P Duong whose telephone number is (571) 272-2794. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

---

For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tom Duong  
November 23, 2004

70



JERRY D. JOHNSON  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
GROUP 1100